



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,819	08/17/2001	Dae-Heon Kwon	678-662 (P9452)	3305

28249 7590 03/15/2005

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.
UNIONDALE, NY 11553

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HAU H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2676

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/931,819

Applicant(s)

KWON ET AL.

Examiner

Hau H Nguyen

Art Unit

2676

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed October 22, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that reference Post et al. does not teach all the limitations of claims 1-4, the examiner disagrees. It should be noted that claim language is given the broadest reasonable interpretation. Since Post et al. teach a system, wherein, as shown in Fig. 1, a CPU 18 receives image at the data acquisition card 16 (receiving image at a service request), selectively stores image either in the first memory 28 or in the second memory 34 via video controller 26 and 32, respectively (col. 3, lines 27-59) (thus, the CPU 18 inherently includes a first selector to provide image data to the first video controller 26, and a second selector to provide image data to the second video controller 32; and the control signals from the CPU 18 given to the corresponding video controllers are thus generated therein). Post et al. also teach the second memory 34 can store text and graphics (text and background screen image) at lower resolution than the first memory 28 (col. 1, lines 53-62, and col. 5, lines 23-27). Post et al. further teach the summer 22 (an image output processor), which combines the first and second video data stream into a single combined video data stream (reading data from the first and second memory), and provide the read data to the monitor 20 to display. Although Post et al. do not teach using a mobile terminal, the system for use in a personal computer as taught by Post et al. can also be used in a laptop computer (a mobile terminal).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Post et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,402,148).

Referring to claims 1-4, Post et al. teach a method for simultaneously displaying images of different resolutions on a single raster scan video monitor begins with the step of storing lower resolution text and graphics data in a first memory (corresponding to a second memory of the claims). The data in the first memory is used to generate a first video frame having N1 pixels per line. A first video data stream is generated from the first memory at a first rate corresponding generally to the bandwidth of the video monitor. Higher resolution biological waveform data is stored in a second memory (corresponding to a first memory). The data in the second memory represents a second video frame having N2 pixels per line. The first and second video data streams are combined to produce a single combined video data stream that is displayed on the video monitor (reading out from the first memory and the second memory to display) (col. 1, lines 53-67, and col. 2, lines 1-8). As shown in Fig. 6, Post et al. teach a monitor screen 76 displaying a low resolution field 78 as would be found on a personal computer having text 80 and graphics 82 both displayed at a first predetermined resolution. The field 78 can extend throughout the entire screen area 76 or be confined as shown. Simultaneously, one or more channels of scrolling ECG waveforms 86A through 86C are displayed at a second predetermined

Art Unit: 2676

resolution greater than the first resolution (col. 5, lines 62-68, and col. 6, lines 1-5). With reference to Fig. 2 and column 3, lines 60-68, and column 4, lines 1-6, Post et al. teach the first memory and the second memory receive the control signals from the respective video controllers 26 and 32. The number of bits used is determined by the number of possible colors, which is determined by the size of the color palette in the RAMDAC (substantially equal to a real color).

Thus, Post et al. teach all the limitations of claims 1-4 except for the device is used in a mobile terminal, and a first selector to provide the first memory with image data, and a second selector to the text data and background image to the second memory. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Post et al. for use in any display device including a mobile terminal since a mobile terminal is compact and portable, and it would have been obvious to add a first selector and a second selector to the first memory and the second memory, respectively in order to provide the corresponding image data to the appropriate memory.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2676

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 571-272-7787. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:


(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

H. Nguyen

03/08/2005



MATTHEW C. BELLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600